

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1430 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/762,759	02/09/2001	Peter Langheinrich	875-00	8552
75	590 06/11/2003			
Jonh F McNulty Paul & Paul 2900 Two Thousand Market Street			EXAMINER	
			ABRAM	S, NEIL
Philadelphia, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2839	
			DATE MAILED: 06/11/2003	DATE MAILED: 06/11/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1	
. 11		47-1

Application No. 62759

Applicant(s)

Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2939
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet beneath th correspondence address —
Period for Reply	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a refer to NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute.	
Status	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\frac{2-9-6}{2}$	prelim and
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>	•
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935.</li> </ul>	or formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s) 1-15	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(a)	is/own allowed
Claim(s) 1-6, 9-15 Claim(s) 7, 8	is/are rejected.
(S) 7, 8	is/are objected to.
Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election
Application Papers	requirement
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	**
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ed to by the Examiner
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	•
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re	ceived.
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re	ceived in Application No
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents	
in this national stage application from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
*Certified copies not received:	•
Atta hment(s)	
Information Disclosure Statem nt(s), PTO-1449, Paper N (	s)
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Pat nt Application, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R view, PTO-948	□ Oth r
Office Ac	tion Summary

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. -

Application/Control Number: 09/762,759

Art Unit: 2839

Title should be more specific, mention electroplating.

Spec., pages 16, 17 should be canceled.

Claims 1-3, 6 and 9-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over wo (item AN)
PCT 46740 alone or in view of Hainsworth and Germany 29721 741.

PCT discloses a contact element 104 with contact areas (humps) at 62 (Figs 4, 8) for supplying current. The contacts appear to meet the V/L/F equation, should this be at issue, it also appears obvious that they, being cylindrical as are applicants, fig. 2 contacts would satisfy the equation. The PCT contacts are not exposed. Omission of parts 60, 72, 68 that surround the contacts, would be an obvious change. Such omission of a part and its function should not serve as a basis for patentability. Such use of exposed contacts further taught by Hainsworth and Germany (as best understood). Obvious to similarly have PCT contacts exposed. This would save expense of parts now omitted.

Claims 2, 3, met by figs 9-12 PCT arrangement. For claim 6, note grooves (Fig. 11) between humps. Claims 9 shape produces no stated advantage over round shapes and is read as obvious variation. Claims 10-12 materials deemed obvious variations.

Claims 1-3, 6 and 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Germany 29721741 alone or in view of PCT 46740 and Hainsworth.

Note PCT 29931, equivalent to the German patent and cited in this case, which includes an English language abstract.

Application/Control Number: 09/762,759

Art Unit: 2839

The German patent includes contact element 34 with contact areas or humps 38, 40.

The Germany device appears to be for supplying current. Should this not be disclosed PCT 740 and Hainsworth are noted to show comparable devices that supplies current. It would have been obvious to so form the German clamp. This would enable electrodeposition to properly take place. Dependent claims are treated as discussed above.

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over PCT, **Wo** 46740 in view of Hainsworth, Germany 29721741 and Haase.

The patents are applied as discussed above. The PCT humps 62 are not in rows. Haase shows a clamp system with plural projection 15, 16 on an arm. It would have been obvious to use two humps, PCT jaw element (fig. 11). This would enable higher current. The six humps would meet claims 4, 5 limitations.

Claims 7 and 8 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to N Abrams at telephone number (703) 308-1729.

N ABRAMS/PJ

06/09/03

N Cle NEIL ABRAMS EXAMINER ART UNIT 322